



## ADDENDUM NO. 1

Date: January 13, 2022

To: All Proposal Recipients

From: Robin Dickerson, PE  
City Engineer

Subject: **RFQ/RFP Professional Engineering Services for the US 101/Brisco-Halcyon Interchange Modification Project**

---

**Receipt of this Addendum must be acknowledged by writing "Addendum No. 1" on the outside of the bid envelope.**

This addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents and modifies the RFQ/RFP issued on December 13, 2021, as noted herein. This addendum contains the following information:

**Bold** text in the table below indicates the text changes.

<b>Addendum Item</b>	<b>Page</b>	<b>Description of Change</b>
1.01	Cover	Proposal Due Date: Changed from January 21, 2022 to <b>February 1, 2022</b> . The time of 4 pm remains unchanged.
1.02	5	III. Scope of Work  Second Paragraph reads:  The Design Standards Decision Documents were approved by Caltrans District 5 for this project on December 2, 2020, and the City has no desire to modify, alter or add additional Design Standard Decision Documents. During the project design, the City is requesting the following three items be included in the design team's scope of services in developing the construction plans, specifications and cost estimates.  Revise to read as follows:  <b>The Design Standards Decision Documents were approved by Caltrans District 5 for this project on December 2, 2020. Although the City has no desire to modify, alter or add additional Design Standard Decision Documents, we understand that to obtain the desired cost saving and phasing the design team may propose some modifications, alterations or</b>

		<p><b>additional design standards to achieve the ultimate goal of completing the project. During the project design, the City is requesting the following three items be included in the design team’s scope of services in developing the construction plans, specifications, cost estimates and proposed changes to the approved Design Standards Decision Documents.</b></p>
<p>1.03</p>	<p>7</p>	<p>2. Environmental Review, Coordination, Design and Permitting</p> <p>Request: Clarify the permits anticipated as this specific portion of work solicited will not involve a jurisdictional water way wherein a 1602 would be required.</p> <p>Response: The tables in both the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) and the Environmental Assessment spell out the required permits and authorizations required for the project. Please refer to those documents for the requirements.</p> <p>In the Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) Page 22 of 152 Table 2 identifies the permits that may be required. These can also be found in Table 1-2 page 20 &amp; 21 of the Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>The environmental documents note that the project does not impact any waters of the US, but does note that three drainages may qualify as other waters of the US.</p> <p>Page 39 of 152 of the IS/MND states the following:</p> <p>The majority of the project site contains disturbed areas, such as the US 101 road surface and other roads, medians, and developments. Vegetative communities present within the area include ruderal (disturbed), landscaped areas (mainly ornamental groundcover and planted trees), riparian, and man-made drainage ditches. While no jurisdictional wetlands were delineated within the project area, drainages within the site have the potential to be considered “other waters of the U.S.” under U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) definitions. These three drainage ditches were identified as having a significant nexus with Arroyo Grande Creek. Some of the drainages are vegetated by riparian vegetation and an herbaceous and/or shrubby understory; others are unvegetated or minimally vegetated with forbs, weeds, and/or species characteristic of freshwater marsh.</p> <p>Page 43 of 152 of the IS/MND also states the following:</p> <p>The assessment of jurisdictional features identified three drainages within the project area that may qualify as other waters of the U.S. (non-wetlands). These drainage ditches have a nexus with Arroyo Grande Creek, which is considered a water of the U.S. due to its connectivity to the Pacific Ocean. The three drainages rank low in terms of function and value. This is indicative of their relatively small size and floodwater storage capacity, low vegetation density (especially along the beds of the drainages), moderate groundwater discharge and low recharge capacity, and low biological diversity.</p>

		<p>The project is not expected to impact jurisdictional wetlands, but may impact other waters of the U.S.</p> <p>Mitigation has been identified to reduce potential impacts.</p> <p>Additional information can be found in the Environmental Assessment, which was previously included in the original RFQ/RFP as part of Attachment C.</p>
1.04	7	<p>4. Bridge and Roadway Design</p> <p>Revise the first sentence to read as follows: “Consultant shall be responsible to provide all civil design services required to provide a final construction set of Plans, Specifications and Estimates for the <b>US 101/Brisco-Halcyon Interchange Modification Project.</b>”</p>
1.05	10	<p>Tentative Project Design Schedule</p> <p>Current Schedule</p> <p>Notice to Proceed Issued.....March 9, 2022</p> <p>Project Kick-off Meeting and Field Review .....March 28-31, 2022</p> <p>Topographic and Boundary Survey, Hydraulic Study, Preliminary Right of Way Engineering, and Geotechnical Investigation .....</p> <p>.....Spring 2022</p> <p>Preliminary Bridge Design and Roadway Plans .....</p> <p>.....Spring 2022 – Summer 2024</p> <p>Right of Way .....Summer 2023 – Summer 2024</p> <p>Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&amp;E) .....Summer 2024</p> <p>Award Construction Contract .....January 2025</p> <p>Revised Schedule</p> <p>Notice to Proceed Issued.....<b>April 13, 2022</b></p> <p>Project Kick-off Meeting and Field Review .....<b>April 25-29, 2022</b></p> <p>Topographic and Boundary Survey, Hydraulic Study, Preliminary Right of Way Engineering, and Geotechnical Investigation .....</p> <p>.....<b>Spring/Summer 2022</b></p> <p>Preliminary Bridge Design and Roadway Plans .....</p> <p>.....Spring 2022 – Summer 2024</p> <p>Right of Way .....Summer 2023 – Summer 2024</p> <p>Plans, Specifications and Estimates (PS&amp;E) .....Summer 2024</p> <p>Award Construction Contract .....January 2025</p>
1.06	10	<p>IV. Proposal Submittal Process</p> <p>Proposal Submittal</p> <p>Revise the first sentence to read as follows: “Each proposal must be received by mail, recognized carrier or hand delivered no later than 4:00 pm on <b>February 1, 2022</b> and submitted on the form(s) provided accompanied by any other required submittals or supplemental materials.”</p>
1.07	12	<p>Proposal/ Award Schedule</p> <p>Current Schedule</p> <p>RFP Issued .....December 13, 2021</p> <p>All questions must be received by 5:00 p.m....January 14, 2019</p>

		<p>Proposal Due .....January 21, 2022          Proposal Evaluation Period.....January 26 – Feb 4, 2022          Interviews with 3 most qualified consultants (if necessary) .....          .....February 8 - 10, 2022          Contract Negotiation with highest ranked consultant .....          .....February 14-17, 2022          Contract Executed and Notice to Proceed Issued .....          .....March 8, 2022</p> <p><b>Revised Schedule</b>          RFP Issued .....December 13, 2021          All questions must be received by 5:00 p.m....<b>January 24, 2022</b>          Proposal Due .....<b>February 1, 2022</b>          Proposal Evaluation Period.....<b>February 7 – 18, 2022</b>          Interviews with 3 most qualified consultants (if necessary) .....          .....<b>March 1-3, 2022</b>          Contract Negotiation with highest ranked consultant .....          .....<b>March 7-11, 2022</b>          Contract Executed and Notice to Proceed Issued .....          .....<b>April 13, 2022</b></p>
1.08	13	<p>Inquires and Responses          Revise second sentence to read as follows: “The deadline for submitting questions is 5:00 pm on January <b>24, 2022.</b>”</p>
1.09	13	<p>V. Proposal Content and Organization          c. Qualifications          Revised first sentence to read as follows: “Identify how much experience the firm and subconsultants have had with public agencies and Caltrans in preparing bid packages for <b>interchange projects.</b>”</p>
1.10	14	<p>VI. Cost Proposal Content and Instructions            Revise First Sentence in Paragraph 2 to read as follows:          Consultant compensation shall be <b>a fixed fee proposal</b> organized by task and shall include all items described in the scope of work on this Project.</p>
1.11	Question	<p>Can a Copy of the Traffic Operations Report be provided?          Response: Yes, a copy is included as part of this addendum. Attachment A</p>
1.12	Question	<p>Do subconsultants need to fill out the City Forms on Attachment B of the RFQ?          Response: No, just the prime consultant that will be contracting with the City needs to fill out the City Forms.</p>
1.13	Question	<p>Provide a cost break down for the Utility Relocation Costs of \$300,000 as shown on the Right of Way Data Sheet dated May 1, 2017 Updated.</p>

		<p>1. Name of utility companies involved in Project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Pacific Gas and Electric – electricity and natural gas</li> <li>Charter Communication – Communication (TV/Internet Service)</li> <li>The Gas Company – natural gas</li> <li>Southern California Gas Company – natural gas</li> <li>SBC Pacific Bell – Telephone</li> <li>AT&amp;T – Telephone (underground)</li> <li>City of Arroyo Grande – Sewer, Water, Storm Drainage</li> <li>County of San Luis Obispo – 30” raw water (Lopez)</li> </ul> <p>The only cost for utility relocation was the City water and sewer lines and the breakdown for those lines is shown below.</p> <p>The breakout for the \$300,000 under the utility relocation item is as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Relocation of 1,000 LF of 8” city sewer - \$150,000</li> <li>Relocation of 1,000 LF of 12” city water - \$150,000</li> </ul> <p>All other utilities except the County Lopez line are under franchise agreements and will relocate at their cost. It was assumed that the County Lopez Line relocation would be paid by the County.</p>
1.14	Question	<p>Can a copy of the Brisco Closure memo be provided?</p> <p>Response: The memo is attached as Attachment B for you use.</p>
1.15	Question	<p>Is updated traffic data available?</p> <p>Response: Yes, 2019 data can be found in Appendix A: Existing Conditions Background Report in the Circulation Element update July 2021 which can be found beginning on page 47 of 231 using the following link:  <a href="http://www.arroyogrande.org/DocumentCenter/View/9843/Circulation-Element-Final">http://www.arroyogrande.org/DocumentCenter/View/9843/Circulation-Element-Final</a></p>

Please contact Robin Dickerson if you should have any questions (805) 473-5441.

----- END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1 -----